REMARKS

This Amendment and Response is in response to the Non-Final Office Action of November 2, 2004, where the Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 14, 15, 19 and 20, allowed claims 8-13 and objected to claims 3-5 and 16-18. Applicant acknowledges and appreciates the Examiner's statement regarding allowance of claims 8-13. By the present amendment, claims 1, 3, 8, 14 and 16 have been amended, and new claims 21-22 have been added. After the present amendment, claims 1-22 are pending in the present application. Reconsideration and allowance of outstanding claims 1-7 and 14-22 in view of the following remarks are requested.

A. Objection to Drawings

The Examiner has objected to Figure 1 for not including a --Prior Art-- legend. By the present amendment, applicant has amended Figure 1 to include a --Prior Art-- legend. Further, applicant has included a copy of the amended Figure 1 designated as a replacement sheet, and a formal copy of the amended Figure 1. Accordingly, applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's objection has been overcome.

B. Rejection of Claims 1, 6, 7, 14, 19 and 20 under 35 USC §102(e)

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 6, 7, 14, 19 and 20 under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Wang, et al. (USPN 6,556,082) ("Wang").

By the present amendment, applicant has amended claim 1 to recite: "... a third

bipolar transistor having a collector connected to said first node and a base connected to

an emitter of said first bipolar transistor at a second node, an emitter of said second

bipolar transistor being connected to a base of a fourth bipolar transmitter transistor

associated with said amplifier, said bias circuit being connected to a control circuit at said

second node second bipolar transistor not having a resistor connected to said emitter of

said-second-bipolar transistor."

In contrast to claim 1, as amended, applicant respectfully submits that Wang fails

to disclose, teach or suggest, for example, "said bias circuit being connected to a control

circuit at said second node." Further, applicant respectfully submits that claim 1, as

amended, should be allowed in view of the Examiner's objection to claim 3 and stating

that claim 3 would be allowed if rewritten to include all of the limitations of claim 1.

In addition, claims 6 and 7 depend from claim 1, as amended, and should be

allowed at least for the same reasons claim 1, as amended, is in condition for allowance.

Also, by the present amendment, independent claim 14 has been amended to

include limitations similar to those of claim 1, as amended, i.e. "said third bipolar

transistor having a collector connected to said first node and a base connected to an

emitter of said first bipolar transistor at a second node, said bias circuit being connected

to a control circuit at said second node second bipolar transistor not having a resistor

connected to said emitter of said second bipolar transistor."

Page 12 of 14

03SKY0005

As stated above, in contrast to claim 14, as amended, applicant respectfully

submits that Wang fails to disclose, teach or suggest, for example, "said bias circuit being

connected to a control circuit at said second node." Further, applicant respectfully

submits that claim 14, as amended, should be allowed in view of the Examiner's

objection to claim 16 and stating that claim 16 would be allowed if rewritten to include all

of the limitations of claim 14.

Also, claims 19 and 20 depend from claim 14, as amended, and should be allowed

at least for the same reasons claim 14, as amended, is in condition for allowance.

C. Rejection of Claims 2 and 15 under 35 USC §103(a)

The Examiner has rejected claims 2 and 15 under 35 USC §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Wang. Applicant respectfully submits that claims 2 and 15 depend

from independent claims 1 and 14, respectively, and thus, claims 2 and 15 should be

allowed at least for the same reasons discussed above in conjunction with patentability of

independent claims 1 and 14, as amended.

D. New Claims 21-22

By the present amendment, applicant has added new claims 21 and 22. Applicant

respectfully submits that claims 21 and 22 depend from independent claims 1 and 14,

respectively, and thus, claims 21 and 22 should be allowed at least for the same reasons

Page 13 of 14

discussed above in conjunction with patentability of independent claims 1 and 14, as amended.

E. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing reasons, an early Notice of Allowance directed to all claims 1-22 pending in the present application is respectfully requested.

Date: 1/7/05

FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP 26522 La Alameda Ave., Suite 360 Mission Viejo, California 92691

Telephone: (949) 282-1000 Facsimile: (949) 282-1002

Respectfully Submitted, FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP

Farshad Farjami, Ésq. Reg. No. 41,014

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed by facsimile transmission to United States Patent and Trademark Office at facsimile number (703) 872-9306, on the date stated below.

1/7/05

LESLEY GILAM

Name

Signature